

Minutes – Mount Peter Master Planning Taskforce Meeting



| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|--------|-------------|---------|---|
| Date | 3 March 2010 | Start Time | 3:30pm | Finish Time | 5:30 pm | Location – Cairns Regional Council – Committee Room |
| Meeting Purpose | Taskforce Meeting | | | | | |
| Attendees | Mr Trevor Gordon (Chair), Mayor Schier, Cr Nancy Lanskey, Peter Tabulo, Peter Boyd, Manfred Boldy (DIP), Rachel Reese (DTMR), Helius Visser (DTMR), Alan Savina (Preg), Vanessa Maruna (Pre), Adam Gowlett (Sat), Gavin Allwood (B&M/Satt), Ben Coman, Adrian Sains (MPMPG), Brent O'Neill (BON), Sandra Burke. | | | | | |
| Apologies | Cr Paul Gregory, Sal Petrocchio (DTMR), Bruce Gardiner, Steve Tyter, | | | | | |
| Observers | Alison Stevens (DIP), Barry Gyte (DTMR), Helen Cook (DTMR) | | | | | |

Actions and Agreements

| Item | What | Who | When | Completed |
|------|--|----------------|------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | <p>Welcome & Introductions</p> <p>1. Outline of significant issues resolved since last September 2009</p> <p>Peter Tabulo advised that two critical issues resolved as follows :</p> <p>a. DIP has provided confirmation of population projections used for Mount Peter – discussion with PIFU has confirmed that population projections as proposed by MPMPG are acceptable for the purposes of the Structure Plan, therefore no changes to Structure Plan documentation are required</p> <p>b. DTMR has made considerable progress on busway and density issues. Bus-way proposed at grade and located where practicable within median of road.</p> | ALL | To note | |
| 2 | <p>Acceptance of Previous Minutes (30 September 2009 - #1536955v24)</p> <p>1. <u>Acceptance of Previous Minutes</u></p> <p>Previous Minutes, were agreed to as a true and accurate record of the meeting .Moved – Vanessa Maruna, Seconded - Gavin Allwood</p> <p>Ben Coman advised that he had not read the previous Minutes of 20 Sep 09 (<i>post meeting note – Minutes of Meeting 30 Sep 09, were circulated to Taskforce 8 October 2009 & again on 25 February 2010</i>)</p> | ALL ALL | To note To note | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--|
| <p>3</p> | <p>Actions for Review from previous Meetings</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Representation to Federal members on Bruce Highway upgrade funding & follow up with Main Roads 2. Bruce H'way upgrade as standing agenda item meeting of regional Mayors 3. Risk Review 4. MPMPG to provide response to Taskforce on key issues and how these have been addressed ion draft Structure Plan documentation or are otherwise issues of implementation that can be escalated to DIP. (Note – Item 7, a prerequisite for Item 8, was received by Council 30/09/09) 5. MPMPG to review content of Document Register. 6. DTMR to provide thresholds for busway provision to MPMPG by 15/09/09 7. DIP to finalise issues with population growth rates with CRC/ MPMPG 8. Developer Members to advise CRC on agreement or otherwise to Flora & Fauna budget submission (<i>note Dev Members did not agree to fund Flora & Fauna budget submission</i>) 9. CRC /MPMPG to review and provide response to Dev Member issues tabled at Taskforce Meeting of 30/09/09) (note action item is a duplicate of action Item 4 above) | <p>Cr G/ PB Mayor Schier CRC /MPMPG MPMPG</p> <p>MPMPG DTMR (SP) DIP Dev Members CRC/ MPMPG</p> | <p>Ongoing Ongoing 14/10/09 30/09/09</p> <p>30/10/09 15/09/09 08/10/09 01/10/09 30/10/09</p> | <p>In progress In progress Complete Complete</p> <p>Complete Complete Complete Complete Complete</p> |
| <p>4</p> | <p>Project Status</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Project Status presentation as per Project Status Report no 22 – dated 9 February 2010 (#1532578v22) 2. Project Status <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Project now well underway, having two major issues resolved as follows : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DIP – population projections confirmed ; and • DTMR – busway/densities b. Summary Report – ‘draft for discussion’ circulated to Taskforce 2 March 2010 c. Milestone #5 now scheduled for completion in March 2010. d. Milestone #6 due for completion in May 2010 (when Council is presented with draft Structure Plan + supporting information for adoption) e. CRC/ DIP intention that recent delays do not translate into delays to the achievement of Milestone #7 and 8. CRC and DIP currently examining opportunities to complete Milestone #7 and 8 as per original programme (ie March 2011) 3. Project Budget – <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Project Budget for 2009/20 reviewed in December 2009 by CRC, with the following changes proposed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • decrease in revenue and expenditure of \$214,500 • no reduction in Project Scope or Outputs • reduction in total dollar value of invoices raised with Dev Members for 2009/10 of \$214,500 (invoices totalling \$225,500 instead of \$440,000) (<i>Note: invoices issued on 2 February 2010</i>) • Projected cashflow position at end of June 2010 maintained at \$88,000 | <p>ALL ALL</p> <p>ALL</p> | <p>To note To note</p> <p>To Note</p> | |

| | | | |
|--|-----|---------|--|
| <p>b. Developer Members (Satterley, Denor) declined to discuss or provide endorsement of proposed revised budget 2009/10 at Meeting on basis that they had not read the Project Status Report (Item 7 Budget Review and Performance) (<i>Post meeting note- – Project Status Report's Nos 21 and 22 containing proposed budget changes and a detailed budget was circulated to Taskforce 3 December 2009, 10 February 2010 & again on 25 February 2010</i>).</p> <p>c. On this basis, Taskforce were advised that whilst no changes would be made to the Project Budget 2009/10, CRC has chosen to be fiscally responsible and will operate on a revised (and reduced) Project Budget 2009/10 as proposed in the Project Status Report No 22.</p> <p>d. Project Budget Performance 2009/10. Project Budget performance to end January 2010 was presented at Meeting. Commentary around full payment of invoices was provided by CRC (<i>Post meeting note – Status of invoices paid was re-checked by CRC. Subsequent advice was provided to Developer Members that invoices had in fact <u>not</u> been paid</i>)</p> | ALL | To Note | |
| <p>4. State Infrastructure planning & delivery still a high risk for the project. Noted that State Government is progressing matters of planning and concept design with regards to State infrastructure.</p> | ALL | To Note | |
| <p>5. Key forthcoming dates :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Taskforce issued with draft Structure Plan documentation 24 Mar 10 • Landowner & Community Ref Group Meeting 31 Mar 10 • Indigenous Consultation – 31 Mar 10 • Taskforce Workshop / Meeting - 1 April 10 • Councillors Workshop – 1 April 10 • Taskforce completes review and submits comments on draft Structure Plan documentation to CRC/ MPMPG – 16 April 10 • Newsletter 6 & website update – mid April 10 • Community Pin-Up – May 10 • Council adopts draft Structure Plan & Outputs – May 10 | ALL | To Note | |
| <p>MPMPG Update</p> | | | |
| <p>6. Density / Busway / Centres</p> <p>BON outlined the work undertaken by DTMR & MLDesign to verify the target densities around TOCs and how this could be achieved in a ample case (Coopers Road District Centre). A density schematic was presented to illustrate a potential configuration in the centre (as included in the draft Summary Report) The land budget had been updated and average Core densities were now at 70dw/ha and Fringe densities at 30dw/ha. Approximately 75% of the developable area could be delivered with conventional development densities of 15dw/ha.</p> | ALL | To Note | |
| <p>7. Open Space</p> <p>AS presented a revised draft open space plan to illustrate the allocation of passive open space in MPUs and the integration of corridors and parks with shared paths and potential open channels (floodways)</p> | ALL | To Note | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|-----|---------|--|
| | <p>8. Infrastructure Update</p> <p>AS advised that the strategic report received from Cairns Regional Council indicated pioneering works for a rising main from Mount Peter would not be required the entire distance to the Edmonton WWTP. The W&S technical report was to be updated accordingly. Draft PIP schedules for Mount Peter are advanced and will be submitted CRC for review before issue to the Taskforce</p> | ALL | To Note | |
| 5 | <p>DIP Update</p> <p>1. Manfred Boldy raised some key points & issues :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Noted that requirements for owner consent to submit master planning application– as defined under <i>SPA 2009</i>, as an issue for Developer Members b. Noted that density and quantum of density to be provided as per <i>FNQ Regional Plan 2031</i>, as an issue for Developer Members c. Taskforce Workshop of 1 April as an opportunity to identify key issues including points of difference and common ground. The intention of the workshop is to provide Council with an opportunity to refine the draft structure plan through collaborative discussion, where warranted, and to assist the State (DIP) to better understand any key issues for consideration during the formal State interest review. <p>MB made it clear that Taskforce Workshop 1 April was not to be seen by Developer Members as an opportunity to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • present any alternate Structure Plan (legislation is clear that Council must prepare a Structure Plan and that DIP has no ability to consider any alternate Structure Plan) • seek Council justification for process and draft structure plan to date. <p>DTMR Update</p> <p>2. Presentation by DTMR (Barry Gyte) on busway work and density roll out.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Busway (CTN) now proposed to be located within median of road cross section, as opposed to previous Policy position of proposed grade separated busway. b. Release of final concept design of CTN for Cairns due to be released mid 2010. c. DTMR’s work on busway and density confirms the staging in the Draft Structure Plan as follows : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0-5 years - : busway corridor confirmed with implementation and funding strategies for staging in line with development. Initial public transport services implemented 5-10 years - : establishment of high frequency bus services. Potential to establish TOCs and greater activity around key stations. PT link from Edmonton to NE employment zone. Minimum frequency of 15 minute services 10-15 years - : completion of high frequency busway service. Mass transport route established and functional, linking Mount Peter with Cairns 15-20 years - : land in the south west unlocked by improved road and PT access. Service frequency continues to increase d. Taskforce congratulated Barry G on informative and excellent presentation | ALL | To Note | |
| | | ALL | To Note | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 6 | Developer Members <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pregno - Tropical Paradise Resorts (TPR). CRC to invite TPR to make presentation at a subsequent Taskforce Meeting (after 1 April 2010) 2. Satterley – expressed concern on undertaking master planning over other people’s property & owners consent, land take up rates, quantum of density to be provided 3. Denor – expressed concern wrt project not being “implementable” 4. Peter Tabulo advised that majority of concerns expressed have been / should be addressed in next review of Draft Structure Plan documentation, due for distribution to Taskforce on 24 March 2010 | CRC ALL ALL ALL | Tba To Note To Note To Note | |
| 7 | General Business <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nil 2. RR advised that she did not agree with statement made in CRCs Project Status Report with regard to DTMR’s Policy changes on busway | ALL ALL | To Note To Note | |
| 8 | Meeting closed - Next Meeting – Thursday 1 April 2010 , proceeding Taskforce Workshop on same day | ALL | To Note | |